

Improving the Accuracy of Predicting Maximal Oxygen Consumption (VO₂pk)

Meghan E. Downs, PhD¹, Stuart M. C. Lee, PhD², Lori Ploutz-Snyder, PhD³, Alan Feiveson, PhD⁴

¹University of Houston, Houston, TX ²Wyle Science, Technology and Engineering Group, Houston, TX, ³USRA, Houston, TX; ⁴NASA, Houston, TX

Maximal oxygen (VO₂pk) is the maximum amount of oxygen that the body can use during intense exercise and is used for benchmarking endurance exercise capacity. The most accurate method to determine VO₂pk requires continuous measurements of ventilation and gas exchange during an exercise test to maximal effort, which necessitates expensive equipment, a trained staff, and time to set-up the equipment. For astronauts, accurate VO₂pk measures are important to assess mission critical task performance capabilities and to prescribe exercise intensities to optimize performance. Currently, astronauts perform submaximal exercise tests during flight to predict VO₂pk; however, while submaximal VO₂pk prediction equations provide reliable estimates of mean VO₂pk for populations, they can be unacceptably inaccurate for a given individual. The error in current predictions and logistical limitations of measuring VO₂pk, particularly during spaceflight, highlights the need for improved estimation methods. **Purpose:** To evaluate the accuracy of predicting VO₂pk on an individual level in astronauts and in bed rest subjects by using data from previous VO₂pk tests where metabolic gas analysis was performed, resting heart rate (HR), and peak workload. **Methods:** Data from the '*CFT70 bed rest Study*' (150 test sessions, 24 subjects) and the '*In-flight VO₂max Study*' (101 test sessions, 14 subjects) were used to determine whether peak workload, resting HR, and measured VO₂pk obtained from one or more previous peak cycle tests (with metabolic gas analysis) could be used in a regression or ratio model to accurately predict VO₂pk for a later peak test without gas analysis. Subject-specific ratio and regression models were evaluated on their ability to predict VO₂pk for individuals on a later peak cycle test that occurred during or post-bed rest or spaceflight. Accuracy was evaluated based on average absolute relative error (RE). **Results:** Regression models with both peak workload and resting HR as predictors were no more accurate than the simple ratio estimate (VO₂ : workload). Using the ratio method, predictions during and after spaceflight were more accurate with two previous measurements of VO₂pk compared to only one. In-flight RE with one previous test was 9.0% (95% CI: 4.9% – 13.1%) and was reduced to 6.4% (95% CI: 4.2% – 8.7%) with two previous tests. Similar results were observed for prediction at the post-flight (R+0) test. Prediction accuracy during bed rest was best with 3 previous measured VO₂pk test sessions (*RE* = 10.2%, 6.2%, 5.0% for 1, 2, and 3 previous tests, respectively). Post-bed rest (BR+0) prediction accuracy was 6.0% (95% CI: 4.1% – 8.0%) and did not improve with more than one previous test. **Conclusion:** Including data from previous measurements of VO₂pk significantly reduced the variability in predicting VO₂pk for individuals and reduced average RE to less than 10%. These data may be useful for development of better assessments of aerobic capacity using combinations of max tests with and without gas analysis. .